

Republic of the Philippines
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Quezon City

SEVENTEENTH CONGRESS
First Regular Session

House Bill No. 1045

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	
RECEIVED	
DATE:	<u>05 JUL 2018</u>
TIME:	<u>5:44 pm</u>
BY:	
REGISTRATION UNIT BILLS AND INDEX SERVICE	

Introduced by Gabriela Women's Party Representatives
EMMI A. DE JESUS and ARLENE BROSAS

EXPLANATORY NOTE

Contractual employment has long been a bane for the Filipino workers and people. While it surely allows capitalists to rake in bigger profits, it has not brought about anything beneficial to workers. Contractual workers receive wages that are lower than those received by regulars and are denied various benefits. They can be removed from work any time and therefore find a hard time forming unions and asserting their rights. Women contractual workers are denied maternity benefits, especially in the havens of contractualization in the country -- the export processing zones, malls and other segments of the service sector of the economy.

Contractualization has also been used to weaken the already few existing unions in the country. Workers who retire or are laid off from work are replaced with contractuels until the latter constitutes a significant section of the workforce. In other cases, contractual workers are hired in numbers that turn unionized workers into a minority. The overall effect is to undermine unions' capacity to hold strikes and halt production in order to pressure managements to heed particular demands. Through contractual employment, managements are able to use and turn the workers against each other.

The Labor Code, which was approved under the Marcos dictatorship, gives the Labor Secretary the power to issue guidelines on contractual employment. The Herrera Law, which amended the Labor Code in 1989, did not remove this immense power from the Labor Secretary and did not uphold workers' regular employment. The said power has been used by Labor Secretaries to legalize contractual employment, virtually teaching capitalists on how to propagandize contractualization in a legal way. Under the Aquino Administration, Labor Secretary Rosalinda Baldoz has issued Department Order 18-A Series of 2011 to legalize contractual employment.

As such, from the 1990s to the present, contractual employment has spread throughout the country. Now, contractuels far outnumber the regular workers in the country. The service sector, the biggest sector of the economy, has become a haven for contractualization, especially the malls, fast food chains, and hotels. Special economic zones or SEZs, especially the export-processing zones among these, also rely heavily on contractualization, where an estimated 80 to 90 per cent of workers are contractuels. Big agro-corporations employ a huge number of contractuels. Contractualization has a woman's face, since many work areas where contractual employment is rampant, exhibit a high concentration of women workers.

The government says it has to legalize contractual employment in order to attract foreign and local investors and therefore create jobs. It presses down workers' wages and curtail trade-union rights, which are also carried out with the legalization of contractualization. It is telling that the government's so-called instrument for creating jobs is used by capitalists to destroy jobs when they remove workers from work especially for forming unions and standing up for their rights. The government also condones

these instances of “job destruction” carried out by capitalists, belying its claim of championing job generation.

Contractual employment has made it easier for capitalists to lay off workers and has therefore contributed to the widespread unemployment in the country. This is most revolting as the International Labor Organization’s “Global Employment Trends 2014” shows that the Philippines has the highest unemployment rate in all of Southeast Asia.

Independent think-tank Ibon Foundation has shown that the quality of employment in the country is getting worse. The increase of underemployed Filipinos to 7.7 million in January 2016 from 6.9 million in January 2015 is a strong indication; 843,000 Filipinos have either lost their security of tenure, work less than 40 hours a week, or simply wanted more than they currently have. Ibon also said that “*six out of ten employed are non-regular, agency-hired and in the informal sector.*”

Contractualization has always figured in the major labor issues in the Philippines for the past years. The Philippine Airlines retrenched 2,600 regular and unionized workers in order to replace them with contractual workers provided by subcontracting agencies suspected by many to be dummy companies of the owner of the country’s flag carrier. Numerous contractuels have been removed from work for fighting for their rights and for forming unions. Those responsible for the death of 10 construction workers during the construction of the Eton Towers in Makati City were not penalized, mainly because of pro-capitalist laws on workers’ occupational health and safety and secondarily because of levels upon levels of subcontracting.

In the meantime, however, workers’ job security should be upheld and regular employment should be promoted. By making subcontracting agencies superfluous and making employers responsible for their employees, this bill seeks to stop contractual employment and uphold workers’ rights to job security. Contractual employment is most exploitative and, most unjust for workers, thereby most unacceptable to them and to the Filipino people. It must as such be eradicated totally and not merely be reduced incrementally. The enactment of this proposed measure is sought.


REP. EMMI A. DE JESUS
Gabriela Women’s Party


REP. ARLENE D. BROSAS
Gabriela Women’s Party

Republic of the Philippines
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Quezon City

SEVENTEENTH CONGRESS
First Regular Session

House Bill No. 1015

Introduced by Gabriela Women's Party Representatives
EMMI A. DE JESUS and ARLENE D. BROSAS

**AN ACT PROHIBITING LABOR-ONLY CONTRACTING AND REGULATING JOB CONTRACTING AND
SUB-CONTRACTING**

Be it enacted by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the Republic of the Philippines in Congress assembled:

Section 1. The Article 106 of the Labor Code of the Philippines is hereby amended to read as follows:

Article 106. Contractor or subcontractor is a person or an entity with whom an employer or principal enters into a contract for the performance of the latter's work.

When the contractor or subcontractor contracts to perform work that is necessary and desirable for the business of the employer, the employees or workers of the contractor or subcontractor shall be considered the employees of the employer and not of the contractor or subcontractor. For all intents and purposes, the contractor, subcontractor, agency, person or intermediary shall be considered merely as agent of the employer or the principal who shall be responsible for the workers in the same manner and extent as if the latter were directly employed by the said employer or principal.

~~[Whenever an employer enters into a contract with another person for the performance of the former's work, the employees of the contractor and of the latter's subcontractor, if any, shall be paid in accordance with the provisions of this Code.~~

~~[In the event that the contractor or subcontractor fails to pay the wages of his employees in accordance with this Code, the employer shall be jointly and severally liable with his contractor or subcontractor to such employees to the extent of the work performed under the contract, in the same manner and extent that he is liable to employees directly employed by him.~~

~~The Secretary of Labor and Employment may, by appropriate regulations, restrict or prohibit the contracting out of labor to protect the rights of workers established under this Code. In so prohibiting or restricting, he may make appropriate distinctions between labor only contracting and job contracting as well as differentiations within these types of contracting and determine who among the parties involved shall be~~

~~considered the employer for purposes of this Code, to prevent any violation or circumvention of any provision of this Code.~~

~~There is "labor only" contracting where the person supplying workers to an employer does not have substantial capital or investment in the form of tools, equipment, machineries, work premises, among others, and the workers recruited and placed by such person are performing activities which are directly related to the principal business of such employer. In such cases, the person or intermediary shall be considered merely as an agent of the employer who shall be responsible to the workers in the same manner and extent as if the latter were directly employed by him.]~~

Section 2. The Article 107 of the Labor Code of the Philippines is hereby repealed.

~~[Article 107. Indirect employer. The provisions of the immediately preceding article shall likewise apply to any person, partnership, association or corporation which, not being an employer, contracts with an independent contractor for the performance of any work, task, job or project.]~~

Section 3. Article 279 of the Labor Code of the Philippines is hereby amended to read as follows:

Article 279. Security of tenure. – ~~[In cases of regular employment, the]~~ NO employer shall ~~{not}~~ terminate the services of an employee except for a just cause or when authorized by this Title. A~~{n}~~REGULAR employee who is unjustly dismissed from work shall be entitled to IMMEDIATE reinstatement without loss of seniority rights and other privileges and to his full back wages, inclusive of allowances, and to his other benefits or their monetary equivalent computed from the time his compensation was withheld from him up to the time of his actual reinstatement.

Section 4. Article 280 of the Labor Code of the Philippines is hereby amended to read as follows:

Article 280. Regular and casual employment. - The provisions of written agreement to the contrary notwithstanding and regardless of the oral agreement of the parties, an employment shall be deemed to be regular where the employee has been engaged to perform activities which are usually necessary or desirable in the usual business or trade of the employer, except where the employment has been fixed for a specific project or undertaking the completion or termination of which has been determined at the time of the engagement of the employee or where the work or service to be performed is seasonal in nature and the employment is for the duration of the season.

An employment shall be deemed to be casual if it is not covered by the preceding paragraph: Provided, That any employee who has rendered at least one year of service, whether such service is continuous or broken, shall be considered a regular employee with respect to the activity in which he is employed and his employment shall continue while such activity exists.

"ANY STIPULATION IN ANY WRITTEN OR ORAL CONTRACT OF EMPLOYMENT FOR A DEFINITE OR FIXED PERIOD DESIGNED TO SUBVERT THE PROVISIONS OF THIS ACT AND COVERING WORK ACTIVITIES WHICH ARE NECESSARY OR DESIRABLE IN THE USUAL BUSINESS OR TRADE OF THE EMPLOYER AS DEFINED UNDER THIS TITLE SHALL BE NULL AND VOID AB INITIO."

